# AMMA JOURNAL VOL 13 ISSUE 2 OCTOBER 2004 CHEMICAL AGENTS CS and Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome<sup>1</sup>

by Andrew Robertson<sup>2</sup>

## INTRODUCTION

CS IS THE GENERIC NAME FOR the lacrimating agent 0-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (2-chloroben zalmalonitrile), which was originally developed in 1928 by B.B. Corson and R.W.

Stoughton<sup>1,2</sup> CS is normally solid, which is disseminated either dissolved in a solvent Liquid (ace tone, methyl isobutyl ketone or methylene chloride) or in a particulate form as a smoke.<sup>1</sup> The latter is the more usual form used in training in the military.

Brooks first described Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome (RADS) in 1985, principally after high-level exposures to respiratory irritants.<sup>3</sup> The American College of Chest Physicians outlined a series of criteria, based on Brooks' criteria, for the diagnosis of RADS. These were<sup>4</sup>:

Documented absence of preceding respiratory conditions.

- Onset of symptoms after a single exposure incident/accident. Exposure to an irritant gas (smoke, fume or vapour) in very high concentrations. Onset of symptoms within 24 hours of exposure, with the persistence of symptoms for at least 3 months.
- Symptoms simulate asthma, with cough, wheeze and shortness of breath.
- Airflow obstruction on Pulmonary Flow Tests and/or presence of non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness.
- Other pulmonary diseases ruled out.

Kipen *et al*<sup>3</sup> have also described a 'low-dose' or 'low-level' RADS associated with repeated low dose exposures to irritants. This was also observed by Tarlo and Boder in their review of irritant-induced occupational asthma.

Given the use of CS for mask test training in the military (Figure 1), there has been concern that CS may produce RADS in susceptible Australian Defence Force personnel. This brief paper will review the literature and the documented association between CS and RADS.

## CS EFFECTS

CS causes local irritation at concentrations of 2-10 mg/m3 and 10-20 mg/m3 is sufficient to disable an average-sized adult<sup>7</sup>. The LCT50 is >50,000 mg.min1m3.<sup>2</sup> Normal military training exposure may produce rhinorrhoea, sneezing and choking, as well as skin and ocular symptoms.

Known asthmatics are excluded from training with CS as CS exposure has been reported to exacerbate bronchoconstriction<sup>8</sup>. Pulmonary oedema and pneumonitis (in an infant) have been reported after expo sure to very high doses of CS<sup>8</sup>.



FIGURE 1: Preparing for mask-testing

A review of the medical literature has shown only two cases of RADS and one case of low-level RADS associated with exposure to CS. Hu and Roth both describe single cases where, on exposure to CS, the patients developed acute and immediate asthma, which persisted for several months after the initial incident".<sup>10</sup> Bayeux-Dunglas describes a case of low-level RADS, which developed after several exposures to CS<sup>11</sup>. A fourth case, described by Hill<sup>8</sup>, of a delayed case of asthma and possible RADS post CS exposure is associated with a hypersensitivity reaction and eosinophilia. As such, this case does not meet the original or revised criteria for RADS<sup>3,4</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

CS is used extensively for training purposes in the military, police and prisons, both in Australia and overseas. CS also has been intensively used for riot control. Given the hundreds of thousands to millions of personal exposures over the last 15 years, the development of RADS from CS exposure is a possible but extremely rare consequence. Training Defence personnel to be confident in putting on and wearing their protective masks is a critical skill that is difficult to develop outside a mask testing facility or by other means. The highly remote risk of developing RADS as a consequence of such exposure is far from sufficient grounds to advocate cessation of such training. As with all training, a risk management approach should be adopted. If such an approach is adopted, the risk of this condition would be negligible and no further action should be taken.

## REFERENCES

- 1. US National Library of Medicine. 2-Chlorobenzal malononitrile. TOXNET Hazardous Substance Data Bank 2001 (http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov).
- 2. US Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense. *Medical management of chemical casualties handbook* (3rd ed.). Aberdeen: USAMRICD: 2000.
- 3. Brooks SM, Weiss MS, Berstein I L. Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome: Case reports of persistent airways hypersensitivity following high-level irritant exposure. *Occ Med* 1985: 27(7): 437-446.
- 4. Alberts VM, do Pico GA. Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome. Chest 1996; 109(6): 1618-1626.
- 5. Kipen HM, Blume R, Hutt D. Asthma experience in an occupational and environmental medicine clinic: Low-dose Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome. *OM 1994*: 36(10): 1133-37.
- 6. Tarlo SM. Broder I. Irritant-induced occupational asthma. Chest 1989; 96(2): 297-301.
- 7. Dan to BL. Medical problems and criteria regarding the use of tear gas by police. Amer J *Forensic Med Path* 1987: 8(4): 317-322.
- 8. Hill, *et al*. A case of persistent, multisystem, hypersensitivity reaction and a review of the literature-Medical hazards of tear gas CS. Medicine 2000: 79(4); 234.
- 9. HuH, Christiani D. Reactive airways dysfunction after exposure to tear gas. *Lancet* 1992: 339(8808): 1535.
- 10. Roth VS, Franzblau. Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome after exposure to a riot control agent: A case report. *Occ Environ Med* 1996: 38(9): 863-865.
- 11. Bayeux-Dunglas M, *et al*. Asthme professionnel chez une ensignante apre sinhalation repetee de gaz lacryomogenes. Rev *Maladies Rcspiratoircs* 1999; 16(4): 558-559.